HOOT

The Patient Confusion Crisis

Why Patients Never Start. Then Never Stay.

And What Pharma Can Do About It.

How Reddit conspiracy theories, TikTok wellness influencers, AI chatbot hallucinations, and Google misinformation are destroying treatment starts and adherence in oncology, rare disease, med device, and complex therapies.

A data-driven analysis of why $5 billion in patient support programs fails to reach patients, and the behavioral science behind fixing it with physician-led video education.

35-40%	**50%**	**$5B**	**98%**
Never start	Never stay	3% utilization	Hoot SMS open rate

By Bob Miglani, Founder & CEO, Hoot Health
23 Years at Pfizer | gethoot.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

SECTION 01

Executive Summary: The Crisis in Numbers

The pharmaceutical industry faces a patient education crisis that is destroying treatment starts and long-term adherence across every complex therapy category. The numbers tell an unambiguous story:

35-40%	of specialty prescriptions are **abandoned before the first fill**. Patients never start the therapy their physician prescribed.
50%	of patients who do start **discontinue within 12 months**. The misinformation that prevented starts also erodes adherence.
$5B	spent annually on patient support programs. **Only 3% of patients actually use them.** 59% of patients do not know they exist.
42%	of prescribers are **unfamiliar with the support programs** available for their own prescribed products.
~80%	of hub call center outbound calls **go unanswered**. The primary intervention mechanism fails to reach patients.

The core thesis of this white paper is simple: **the missing link in patient support is not the program. It is the messenger.** The prescribing physician's voice is the most powerful behavior-change asset in medicine, trusted by 92% of patients above every other source. Yet that voice disappears the moment the patient leaves the exam room, replaced by Reddit conspiracy threads, TikTok wellness influencers, AI chatbot hallucinations, and Google lawsuit advertisements.

This paper examines why patients never start therapy, why those who start don't stay, and presents a data-driven framework for recovering billions in lost revenue through physician-led video education delivered via SMS at 98% open rates.

SECTION 02

The 72-Hour Hesitation Window

Within 72 hours of a new prescription, patients make the behavioral decision that determines whether they will start therapy. This is not a gradual process. It is a concentrated window of vulnerability where the patient's confidence in their physician's recommendation is systematically eroded by competing information sources.

The Timeline of a Treatment Decision

Hour 0	Prescription written. Patient leaves the physician's office feeling confident in the treatment plan. Intention to fill is high.
Hour 1-6	Patient tells family and friends. First questions arise: "Why do you need that?" "Did you ask about side effects?" "My aunt took something like that and had a terrible experience." Doubt is introduced by well-meaning but medically uninformed voices.
Hour 6-24	Patient searches Google for their medication name. Page 1 results: lawsuit advertisements, side-effect aggregator sites, Reddit threads with anonymous horror stories, and SEO-optimized fear content. The patient encounters more negative information in 20 minutes than the physician shared in the entire office visit.
Hour 24-48	Patient arrives at the pharmacy. Sticker shock on the copay. Combined with the doubt accumulated over the previous 24 hours, the cost becomes the justification for a decision the patient has already emotionally made. "Maybe I should wait and think about it."
Hour 48-72	Decision finalized. For 35-40% of newly prescribed specialty patients, the decision is not to fill. The prescription is abandoned. The revenue is lost. The health outcome is compromised.

The critical insight: the physician's voice, the only source trusted by 92% of patients, is completely absent during this entire window. The hub call center attempts outreach during this period, but approximately 80% of calls go unanswered. The brochure arrives days after the decision has been made. The patient support program enrollment email sits unread. The physician's recommendation, the single strongest predictor of treatment initiation, has no reinforcement mechanism.

SECTION 03

The Misinformation Ecosystem

The void left by the physician's absence is not empty. It is filled by an interconnected ecosystem of misinformation sources that collectively override the treatment recommendation. Each source reinforces the others, creating a compounding effect that accelerates doubt into abandonment.

Reddit

Anonymous forums where patients share unverified personal anecdotes, horror stories, and fear-driven narratives about medications. Threads with hundreds of upvotes appear on Google page 1 when patients search their medication name. No clinical context. No physician moderation. No accountability for medical accuracy.

TikTok

Non-medical influencers with millions of followers produce 30-second conspiracy videos about pharmaceutical products. “Big Pharma doesn’t want you to know...” content generates millions of views. The platform’s algorithm amplifies fear-based health content to vulnerable patient populations for days after initial engagement.

AI Chatbots (ChatGPT, Google AI)

Patients increasingly ask AI tools about their medications. These tools generate confident, authoritative-sounding responses that are frequently medically inaccurate, fabricating statistics, misattributing clinical trial data, and providing misleading risk assessments. Patients accept AI output as clinical fact because it “sounds” authoritative.

Google Search

When patients search “[drug name] side effects,” page 1 is dominated by personal injury attorney advertisements, class-action lawsuit pages, side-effect aggregator sites, and content designed to generate clicks through fear. The physician’s clinical perspective is buried beneath SEO-optimized fear content.

Facebook Groups

Private patient groups with tens of thousands of members where alternative medicine claims are promoted as cancer cures, where members report stopping prescribed treatments based on group advice, and where posts from actual physicians are deleted by group administrators.

YouTube

"Functional medicine" channels with millions of subscribers cherry-pick clinical trial data, misinterpret study results, and promote supplement alternatives to prescribed therapies. YouTube’s recommendation algorithm serves this content to every patient who searches their treatment.

> *“80% of health misinformation on social media is never corrected. Once a patient encounters a fear-based narrative about their medication, there is no systematic mechanism to deliver the physician’s clinical perspective as a counterweight.”*

SECTION 04

The Influencer Problem

Non-medical social media influencers now have more reach than every pharma hub call center combined. Four archetypes dominate the health misinformation landscape, each targeting different patient populations during the hesitation window:

The "Wellness Warrior" (TikTok, 1-5M+ followers)

Claims chemotherapy "destroys your immune system" and promotes unproven supplements as cancer treatment alternatives. Oncology patients delay or abandon first-line treatment based on these claims.

The "Functional Medicine" Authority (YouTube, 1-3M+ subscribers)

Presents cherry-picked clinical data, misinterprets trial results, and promotes proprietary supplement protocols to replace prescribed biologics. Rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, and psoriasis patients discontinue biologic therapy.

The "Natural Cures" Healer (Instagram, 1-2M+ followers)

Claims to have reversed serious diagnoses through alkaline water, turmeric, and positive visualization. Anecdotal personal stories presented as medical evidence. Cancer patients refuse recommended treatment.

The "Medical Freedom" Activist (Podcasts, 500K-1M+ per episode)

Targets gene therapy and rare disease patients with "follow the money" conspiracy framing. Claims treatments "haven't been tested long enough" and that physicians are financially incentivized to prescribe. Rare disease patients refuse life-saving gene therapy.

These influencers share a common pattern: they present themselves as allies of the patient against an adversarial healthcare system. They fill the emotional vacuum left by the physician's absence with empathy, narrative, and conspiracy. The physician's clinical authority is replaced by the influencer's emotional authority. The only effective counter is returning the physician's voice to the conversation at the moment the patient is most vulnerable.

SECTION 05

Therapeutic Area Spotlight

The patient confusion crisis manifests differently across therapeutic areas, but the underlying mechanism is consistent: the physician's educational voice disappears after the office visit, leaving patients to process complex, fear-inducing treatment information using the lowest-quality sources available.

Oncology

Cancer patients face extreme emotional vulnerability combined with complex, multi-step treatment protocols: immunotherapy, CAR-T cell therapy, targeted therapy, radiation, surgical oncology, and combination regimens. 47% of cancer patients report confusion about treatment protocols within the first week of diagnosis. Facebook groups actively promote treatment abandonment with alternative medicine claims, and oncology-specific TikTok conspiracy content reaches millions of newly diagnosed patients. The stakes are life and death, and the misinformation ecosystem treats cancer treatment as its most profitable content category.

Rare Disease

Rare disease patients navigate ultra-specialized therapies with small patient populations and limited peer support: gene therapy, cell therapy, enzyme replacement, and orphan drugs that may cost $500,000 or more per year. 84% of providers report difficulty starting patients on specialty medications. The emotional isolation of rare disease, combined with the complexity of novel treatment modalities, makes these patients uniquely vulnerable to podcast conspiracy content targeting gene therapy and “medical freedom” narratives. The prescribing physician, often the only specialist the patient has ever seen for their condition, has no mechanism to maintain educational contact after the office visit.

Medical Devices

Orthopedic implants, cardiac devices, surgical robotics, and implantable drug delivery systems require a different form of patient education: understanding the device, the surgical procedure, post-surgical rehabilitation protocols, and long-term monitoring requirements. 62% of surgical patients report not fully understanding their device or procedure after signing informed consent. YouTube videos about “device failures” and “class action lawsuits” create post-surgical anxiety that drives non-compliance with rehabilitation protocols, missed follow-up appointments, and in some cases, requests for device removal against medical advice.

SECTION 06

The $5 Billion Support Program Failure

The pharmaceutical industry spends $5 billion annually on patient support programs (PSPs): hub call centers, CRM case management systems, nurse educator programs, benefits investigation, prior authorization support, copay card enrollment, specialty pharmacy coordination, and field reimbursement teams. These programs are well-designed, well-funded, and well-intentioned. They are also failing to reach patients at the moment that matters.

The Patient Support Funnel

Patients prescribed	100%
Aware support programs exist	41%
Enrolled in a program	~18%
Actually using the program	3%

The structural failures compound at each stage. Hub call centers rely on outbound phone calls as the primary engagement mechanism, but approximately 80% of calls go unanswered. Patients screen unknown numbers, ignore voicemails from unfamiliar callers, and often have no expectation that a support service will contact them. The CRM logs “contact attempted” and the patient enters the hesitation window without any intervention from anyone they trust.

Hub CRM systems are built to record enrollment status, track case notes, and generate compliance reports. They are data repositories, not behavior-change instruments. A patient flagged as “at-risk of abandonment” in the hub CRM still receives the same generic outreach: another call attempt, another brochure, another PDF attachment. The system knows the patient is hesitating; it has no mechanism to intervene with the one voice that would change the outcome.

SECTION 07

The Patient Trust Hierarchy

The behavioral science of source credibility explains why the patient confusion crisis exists and why the current support model cannot solve it. Patients assign trust to information sources based on perceived expertise, perceived caring, and source proximity to their personal health context. The data is unambiguous:

Prescribing Physician	92%
Pharmacist	78%
Nurse Educator	65%
Hub Call Center Representative	38%
Brand Website / Email	22%
Social Media / AI Chatbot	14%

> *“The crisis in one sentence: the source patients trust most (physician: 92%) is absent when they decide whether to start therapy, and absent again when they decide whether to stay on it. The sources they trust least (social media, AI chatbots: 14%) dominate both decisions.”*

Current patient support models deploy hub call center representatives (38% trust) and brand emails (22% trust) as the primary intervention during the hesitation window. These channels cannot compete with the emotional authority of the prescribing physician (92% trust). The behavioral science is clear: only the physician’s voice carries sufficient trust weight to override the misinformation bombardment. The question is how to operationalize that voice at scale.

SECTION 08

The Business Impact: Revenue Lost to Failed Starts and Adherence

The patient confusion crisis destroys revenue at two distinct failure points: patients who never fill the first prescription (failed starts) and patients who start but discontinue within 6 months (lost adherence). For a single oncology product, the combined impact is staggering.

Oncology Revenue Impact Model

New prescriptions per quarter	**10,000**
Annual revenue per patient	**$80,000**
New-to-brand START failure rate	**40%**
Patients who never start per quarter	**4,000**
Annual revenue lost to failed STARTS	**$128M**
Of starters, 6-month discontinuation rate	**20%**
Annual revenue lost to failed ADHERENCE	**$96M**
Total annual revenue destroyed by the confusion crisis	**$224M**

These numbers represent a single product. Pharma companies with portfolios of 5-20 specialty brands face confusion crisis losses measured in the billions annually. The losses compound: failed starts reduce the patient base from which adherence revenue is generated, and adherence losses reduce lifetime patient value. Each failure point amplifies the other.

SECTION 09

The Fix: Physician-Led Video Education via SMS

The solution to the patient confusion crisis must satisfy three requirements: it must deliver the physician's voice (the only source trusted at 92%), it must reach patients during the 72-hour hesitation window (before the start decision is made), and it must sustain the physician's presence through the adherence risk period (Month 1, 3, and 6).

Hoot Video Hub is the platform that meets all three requirements. It delivers physician-recorded or AI-generated physician video education via SMS, the one channel patients actually engage with, at 98% open rates, at the exact moments in the patient journey where treatment starts are won or lost and adherence is sustained or broken.

Why SMS. Why Video. Why the Physician.

SMS because it is the only channel that reaches patients at near-universal rates. Hub call centers achieve approximately 20% answer rates. Email open rates for pharma communications average 15-25%. SMS open rates exceed 98%, with the majority of messages read within 3 minutes of delivery.

Video because it is the only medium that conveys the physician's presence, facial expressions, tone, and emotional authority. A PDF brochure carries information. A video from the prescribing physician carries trust. The difference between reading about side effects in a pamphlet and hearing your doctor explain what to expect is the difference between abandonment and adherence.

The physician because no other messenger can override the misinformation bombardment. A hub call center representative at 38% trust cannot counteract a TikTok video that has already emotionally anchored the patient's fear. A brand email at 22% trust cannot undo a Reddit thread with 847 upvotes. Only the prescribing physician, at 92% trust, carries sufficient authority to re-establish confidence in the treatment plan.

SECTION 10

The Hoot Model: How It Works

Hoot Video Hub integrates with existing hub services, specialty pharmacy workflows, and patient support program infrastructure. It does not replace any existing vendor relationship. It adds the physician-voice layer that existing hub operations structurally cannot deliver.

1. Prescription Written

Physician prescribes therapy. Hoot Video Hub activates the patient journey simultaneously with hub enrollment. No additional physician workflow required.

2. Physician Video Created

AI-generated physician avatar or physician-recorded video content, available in 175+ languages. Content passes through the brand's MLR approval workflow before patient delivery. HIPAA compliant, SOC 2 Type II certified.

3. SMS Delivery During Hesitation Window

Within hours of the prescription, the patient receives a text message from their physician's office containing a personalized video. The physician explains the treatment, addresses common fears, sets expectations for side effects, and directs the patient to available support resources. 98% open rate.

4. Follow-Up Video Sequence

Day 3: physician addresses first-week side effect expectations. Week 1: reinforcement of treatment importance. Month 1: adherence encouragement during peak discontinuation risk. Month 3 and Month 6: proactive re-engagement targeting patients at highest risk of dropping off.

5. Hub CRM Integration

Every Hoot Video Hub touchpoint generates engagement data that flows back to existing hub CRM systems: video views, watch completion rates, click-through to support resources, and patient sentiment signals. Hub case managers gain real-time visibility into which patients were reached, which engaged, and which need escalated human outreach.

SECTION 11

ROI Framework: Quantifying the Revenue Recovery

The ROI framework for physician-led video education operates on two recovery vectors: improved treatment starts (reducing the 35-40% abandonment rate) and improved adherence (reducing 6-month and 12-month discontinuation). Both vectors generate measurable revenue recovery against a known baseline.

Recovery Model: Oncology Example

Total addressable revenue (40K patients/yr x $80K)	**$800M**
Current revenue lost to failed starts (40%)	**-$128M**
Current revenue lost to discontinuation (20% of starters)	**-$96M**
Realized revenue after confusion losses	**$576M**
If Hoot improves starts by 10 percentage points	**+$32M/yr**
If Hoot improves 6-month adherence by 5 points	**+$19M/yr**
Combined annual revenue recovery	**+$51M/yr**

These projections are conservative. They model a 10-point improvement in starts (from 60% to 70% initiation rate) and a 5-point improvement in 6-month adherence. Hoot's existing deployments in ophthalmology have demonstrated conversion improvements from 10-15% to 50-65%, representing far larger lifts than the model assumes. Early oncology pilot data suggests the lift potential in high-emotion therapeutic areas may be even greater.

Existing Proof Points

MyopiaCare conversion: 10-15% baseline improved to 50-65% with physician video education. Dr. Rasraj Rana reported 600% conversion improvement. Dr. Eric Bang reported 300% increase in patient sign-ups. These results, achieved in ophthalmology, establish the behavioral mechanism that physician-led video education directly impacts patient treatment decisions. The application to oncology, rare disease, and med device represents an expansion of a proven model into higher-stakes therapeutic contexts.

SECTION 12

Conclusion: The Path Forward

The patient confusion crisis is not a future threat. It is a present reality costing pharma brands billions in failed starts and lost adherence every year. The mechanism is clear: the prescribing physician's voice disappears after the office visit, and the void is filled by Reddit conspiracy threads, TikTok wellness influencers, AI chatbot hallucinations, and Google fear content. Patients make life-altering treatment decisions based on the lowest-quality information sources available, because the highest-quality source is absent.

The fix is equally clear. The physician's voice must be present during the 72-hour hesitation window (to drive starts) and must remain present through Month 1, 3, and 6 (to sustain adherence). It must be delivered via the one channel patients actually engage with (SMS, 98% open rate). And it must integrate with existing hub services, specialty pharmacy workflows, and patient support infrastructure without disrupting established operations.

Hoot is the platform that delivers all of this. Built by a 23-year Pfizer veteran who saw the patient access problem from inside the world's largest pharmaceutical company, and grounded in clinical reality by a practicing physician who watched patients abandon treatment plans in her own practice.

Next Step: Get a Free Patient Confusion Audit

Hoot will map the specific misinformation sources, hesitation triggers, and trust gaps in your top product's patient journey, including a revenue recovery projection showing what physician-led video education would recover in failed starts and lost adherence.

Request an Audit: bob@gethoot.com

Sales & Partnerships: justin@gethoot.com

Sources: Tufts Center for the Study of Drug Development (CSDD), IQVIA, J.D. Power Healthcare Intelligence, PhRMA, Deloitte Center for Health Solutions, peer-reviewed adherence studies published in JAMA, The Lancet, and Health Affairs. Specific data points attributed throughout the document.

Hoot Health, Inc. HIPAA Compliant. SOC 2 Type II Certified. US Data Residency. MLR Workflow Included. Adverse Event Capture Enabled.